

REMARKS

Figures 2, 6, and 8 have been corrected and provided with the response.

Claims 5, 12, and 20 have been amended to correct formalities.

The 35 U.S.C. §112 Rejections

The Examiner rejected claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has amended claim 5 to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

The 35 U.S.C. §103(a) Rejections

Claims 1-27 have been rejected under 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,917,405 to Joao (Joao) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,559,491 to Stadler (Stadler). Claim 1 has been amended to recite, in part: a key FOB configured to communicate with the two-way communication module and send a signal indicating one of a medical emergency or a police emergency. Support for the amendment is in the specification at page 7, line 11.

Neither Joao or Stadler, either alone or in combination, either teach or suggest amended claim 1. Claim 1 is in condition for allowance.

Claims 2-17 depend from claim 1 and are in condition for allowance.


Claim 18 has been amended to recite, in part: receiving a signal indicating one of a medical emergency or a police emergency from a key FOB. Support for the amendment is in the specification at page 7, line 11.

Neither Joao or Stadler, either alone or in combination, either teach or suggest amended claim 18. Claim 18 is in condition for allowance.

Claims 19-27 depend from claim 1 and are in condition for allowance.

In view of the foregoing, consideration and an early allowance of this application are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
Sierra Patent Group, Ltd.



John W. Crosby
Reg. No. 49,058

Dated: December 22, 2003

Sierra Patent Group, Ltd.
PO Box 6149
Stateline, NV 89449
(775) 586-9500